

TEA Data - 2018 Accountability by Domain RAW (R) and Scaled (S) Score

	Domain 1								Domain 2							Domain 3			Overall			
	STAAR		CCMR		Grad		Total		2A			2B				Best		Domain 3		Overall		
	R	S	R	S	R	S	S		R	S		R	%ED	S		S		R	S	S		
HS Targets	35	60	26	60	86	60	60	--	56	60	--	X ¹	%ED	60	--	60	--	11	60	-	60	--
DHS	45	73	48	80	93	75	76	MS	63	70	MS	47	57.7	78	MS	78	MS	38	72	MS	76	MS
PHS	43	72	45	77	90.2	65	73	MS	64	72	MS	44	76.2	78	MS	78	MS	19	65	MS	74	MS
PMHS	51	78	54	85	95.6	85	82	MS	67	76	MS	53	62.7	83	MS	83	MS	66	79	MS	82	MS
SRHS	41	70	42	73	96.6	90	75	MS	63	70	MS	42	78.6	76	MS	76	MS	17	63	MS	72	MS
SHHS	41	70	40	71	90.8	65	69	MS	59	65	MS	41	75.8	75	MS	75	MS	24	67	MS	73	MS

- X¹ - varies by % ED
- R - Raw Score
- S - Scaled Score
- MS - Met Standard
- IR - Improvement Required
- NR - Not Rated

TEA Data - 2018 Accountability by Domain RAW (R) and Scaled (S) Score

	Domain 1			Domain 2							Domain 3			Overall			
	R	S	MS	2A			2B			Best		R	S	MS	S	Rating	
				R	S	MS	R	%ED	S	R	S						
M/I Targets	32	60	--	62	60	--	X ¹	%ED	60	--	60	--	11	60	--	60	--
Beverly Hills	48	79	MS	80	90	MS	48	62.8	84	MS	90	MS	87	88	MS	89	MS
Bondy	53	84	MS	84	92	MS	53	60.4	88	MS	92	MS	97	97	MS	94	MS
Jackson	39	71	MS	81	91	MS	39	88.6	81	MS	91	MS	66	79	MS	87	MS
Miller	49	80	MS	81	91	MS	49	83.9	90	MS	91	MS	89	89	MS	90	MS
Park View	41	73	MS	76	85	MS	41	82.9	82	MS	85	MS	73	82	MS	84	MS
Queens	37	69	MS	78	88	MS	37	85.6	79	MS	88	MS	65	79	MS	85	MS
San Jacinto	39	71	MS	77	86	MS	39	84	80	MS	86	MS	47	75	MS	83	MS
So Houston	51	82	MS	80	90	MS	51	90.5	91	MS	91	MS	93	93	MS	92	MS
Southmore	42	74	MS	80	90	MS	42	85.7	84	MS	90	MS	74	83	MS	88	MS
Thompson	47	78	MS	72	80	MS	47	63	83	MS	83	MS	68	80	MS	82	MS
B Shaw	39	71	MS	63	63	MS	39	85.9	81	MS	81	MS	23	67	MS	77	MS
C Lomax	53	84	MS	69	75	MS	53	58.7	88	MS	88	MS	90	90	MS	89	MS
De Zavala	36	67	MS	60	59	IR	36	89.9	77	MS	77	MS	22	66	MS	74	MS
E Milstead	45	76	MS	69	75	MS	45	84.5	87	MS	87	MS	70	81	MS	85	MS
F Roberts	48	79	MS	69	75	MS	48	75.1	88	MS	88	MS	79	85	MS	87	MS
Keller	43	75	MS	63	63	MS	43	85.2	85	MS	85	MS	29	70	MS	81	MS
M Kendrick	43	75	MS	60	59	IR	43	82.4	85	MS	85	MS	28	70	MS	81	MS
Melillo	52	83	MS	63	63	MS	52	56.1	86	MS	86	MS	55	76	MS	83	MS
Morris	45	76	MS	62	60	MS	45	66	82	MS	82	MS	35	72	MS	79	MS
N Sullivan	34	64	MS	60	59	IR	34	84.3	72	MS	72	MS	6	47	IR	65	MS
R Schneider	38	70	MS	62	60	MS	38	83.9	79	MS	79	MS	30	70	MS	76	MS

X¹ - varies by % ED

R - Raw Score

S - Scaled Score

MS - Met Standard

IR - Improvement Required

NR - Not Rated

TEA Data - 2018 Accountability by Domain RAW (R) and Scaled (S) Score

	Domain 1			Domain 2							Domain 3			Overall			
	R	S	MS	2A		2B			Best		R	S	MS	S	Rating		
				R	S	R	%ED	S	S	S							
ES Targets	35	60	--	64	60	--	X ¹	%ED	60	--	60	--	23	60	--	60	--
Atkinson	50	77	MS	69	70	MS	50	68.1	83	MS	83	MS	82	79	MS	82	MS
Bailey	54	82	MS	73	77	MS	54	85.3	89	MS	89	MS	95	90	MS	89	MS
Burnett	38	65	MS	59	57	IR	38	86.0	70	MS	70	MS	46	69	MS	70	MS
Fisher	48	76	MS	57	56	IR	48	84.5	84	MS	84	MS	44	68	MS	79	MS
Frazier	56	85	MS	62	59	IR	56	70.9	89	MS	89	MS	56	72	MS	84	MS
Freeman	34	59	IR	57	56	IR	34	83.9	62	MS	62	MS	12	46	IR	57	NR
Gardens	36	62	MS	57	56	IR	36	89.6	69	MS	69	MS	19	55	IR	65	MS
Garfield	45	73	MS	51	53	IR	45	83.2	81	MS	81	MS	33	64	MS	76	MS
Genoa	36	62	MS	52	54	IR	36	82.9	66	MS	66	MS	28	62	MS	65	MS
Golden Acres	45	73	MS	59	57	IR	45	77.9	80	MS	80	MS	46	69	MS	77	MS
Jensen	42	71	MS	56	56	IR	42	79.1	75	MS	75	MS	25	61	MS	71	MS
Jessup	39	67	MS	69	70	MS	39	92.1	74	MS	74	MS	56	72	MS	73	MS
Kruse	41	70	MS	57	56	IR	41	88.5	77	MS	77	MS	29	62	MS	73	MS
L F Smith	47	75	MS	61	58	IR	47	89.3	84	MS	84	MS	45	68	MS	79	MS
Laura Bush	56	85	MS	64	60	MS	56	61.1	86	MS	86	MS	70	76	MS	83	MS
Mae Smythe	37	64	MS	63	59	IR	37	87.2	70	MS	70	MS	17	52	IR	65	MS
Matthys	43	72	MS	67	67	MS	43	86.3	79	MS	79	MS	59	73	MS	77	MS
McMasters	43	72	MS	58	57	IR	43	82.6	79	MS	79	MS	41	67	MS	75	MS
Meador	62	91	MS	77	83	MS	62	75.8	91	MS	91	MS	92	87	MS	90	MS
Moore	48	76	MS	67	67	MS	48	60.0	77	MS	77	MS	75	77	MS	77	MS
Morales	43	72	MS	56	56	IR	43	85.1	79	MS	79	MS	37	65	MS	75	MS
Parks	38	65	MS	37	47	IR	38	95.4	73	MS	73	MS	28	62	MS	70	MS
Pearl Hall	48	76	MS	63	59	IR	48	88.7	85	MS	85	MS	66	75	MS	82	MS
Pomeroy	35	60	MS	65	62	MS	35	90.7	67	MS	67	MS	10	43	IR	60	MS
Red Bluff	45	73	MS	63	59	IR	45	90.2	82	MS	82	MS	54	72	MS	79	MS
Richey	37	64	MS	60	58	IR	37	87.8	70	MS	70	MS	15	50	IR	64	MS
So Belt	55	83	MS	70	72	MS	55	50.8	82	MS	82	MS	89	84	MS	83	MS
So Houston	31	56	IR	47	52	IR	31	86.5	58	IR	58	IR	14	48	IR	55	NR
So Shaver	44	72	MS	54	55	IR	44	91.0	81	MS	81	MS	29	62	MS	75	MS
Sparks	37	64	MS	68	69	MS	37	91.7	70	MS	70	MS	48	70	MS	70	MS
Stuchbery	43	72	MS	62	59	IR	43	78.1	77	MS	77	MS	28	62	MS	73	MS
Teague	46	74	MS	59	57	IR	46	66.6	77	MS	77	MS	34	64	MS	73	MS
Th Hancock	34	59	IR	60	58	IR	34	85.7	62	MS	62	MS	17	52	IR	59	NR
Turner	54	82	MS	65	62	MS	54	54.8	83	MS	83	MS	72	76	MS	81	MS
Williams	33	58	IR	55	55	IR	33	84.8	60	MS	60	MS	18	54	IR	58	NR
Young	42	71	MS	71	74	MS	42	87.3	78	MS	78	MS	74	77	MS	78	MS

X¹ - varies by % ED

R - Raw Score

S - Scaled Score

MS - Met Standard

IR - Improvement Required

NR - Not Rated

Distinction Designations	RELA	M	Sci	SS	Growth	Gap	Postsecondary Readiness
DHS PHS SRHS SHHS	RELA	M	Sci				
Beverly Hills Bondy Jackson Miller Park View Queens San Jacinto So Houston Southmore	RELA	M	Sci	SS	Growth	Gap	PR
C Lomax E Milstead F Roberts Keller Melillo M Kendrick De Zavala R Schneider	RELA	M	Sci	--	Growth	Gap	PR
Atkinson Bailey Fisher Frazier Garfield L F Smith La Bush Matthys Meador Pearl Hall So Belt Stuchbery Turner Young	RELA	M	--	--	Growth	Gap	PR